

Specific questions to be addressed by Site proposers at parish presentation....

WHCS 06 - Land Adjacent Cookhams (477 in Housing Supply Document) – “Subject to landscaping and siting of development.”⁽¹⁾

1. *Need confirmation from proposer re housing mix to meet parish requirements⁽²⁾ re affordable and 2/3-bed homes*

WHCS 05 - Land North of Top Road, Sharpthorne (part of 148 in housing supply document)- “For consideration based on the information provided.”

1. *Need confirmation from proposer re housing mix to meet parish requirements re affordable and 2/3-bed homes*

WHCS 07 - Workhouse Platt- “Access concerns, outside Built Up Area Boundary, within AONB.”

1. *Does not address parish housing requirements as currently proposed – is there any alternative?*
2. *How could access concerns (narrow track) be addressed?*

WHCS 03 - Bluebell Railway (site 147) – “Site configuration would make it difficult affecting viability, access issues as previously identified by highways, incursion into countryside and AONB.”

1. *Need to see proposed layout of dwellings to overcome viability concern*
2. *Need confirmation from proposer re housing mix to meet parish requirements re affordable and 2/3-bed homes*
3. *Confirmation that site no longer needed as an important access for Bluebell Railway use*

WHCS 04 - Bakers Pit and Webbs Mead (476 and adjoining field)- “Access Issues – proposed access raises concerns both in terms of availability but also in terms of sight lines, viability concerns particularly on the Bakers Pit element. Existing infrastructure affecting viability and limiting layout options. Concern about indefensible boundary to South. AONB would need to demonstrate need and no alternative sites available.”

1. *What is the level of confidence of the deliverability of proposed access and what would referenced alternative access route be?*
2. *What proposals could owner put forward to overcome concern re southern boundary further development expansion?*
3. *What further comments could be put forward re the use of the Bakers Pit part given the layout, mast and cabling?*

WHCS 02 - Bluebell Woodland- “Encroaches onto AONB, access issues as indicated for Bluebell Railway site, loss of woodland.”

1. *Need confirmation from proposer re housing mix to meet parish requirements re affordable and 2/3-bed homes*

WHCS 01 - Hangdown Mead Business Park- “Subject to no overall loss in employment space, and amenity consideration for existing businesses and new residents given full consideration (site does fall outside BUAB however a small scheme may be acceptable.) “

1. *What employment space would be lost?*
2. *Which units and occupants would be displaced?*
3. *How would amenity for new residential use be ensured (eg site partitioning & highway access)?*
4. *Need confirmation from proposer re housing mix to meet parish requirements⁽²⁾ re affordable and 2/3-bed homes*
5. *Where is alternative commercial space referred to in proposal?*

WHCS 10 - McMenemy Land- “Access concerns, outside Built Up Area Boundary, within AONB.” – ie same as WorkhousePlatt until MSDC separately comment

1. *Need confirmation from proposer re housing mix to meet parish requirements re affordable and 2/3-bed homes*

(1) *The brown text immediately after each Site name is an extract from initial informal MSDC feedback from MSDC re each site received Jan 2014*

(2) *As described in the current draft Neighbourhood Plan document on the Hoathly Hub and notes of first taskforce meeting on 2nd December 2013*